

## Review of the publication in the "Journal of Nano and Electronic Physics"

Manuscript Title and Authors: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Manuscript Registration number: \_\_\_\_\_

**Does the manuscript correspond to the topics of the Journal?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Is the relevance of the research sufficiently justified?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Is the aim of the research clearly formulated?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Is the information presented in the manuscript new?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Have the results of the manuscript a practical value?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Are the selected methods described in sufficient detail?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Do the authors involve interdisciplinary connections and research methods?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Is the structure of the manuscript logical and consistent?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Are the results of the study described in detail, substantiated, and accompanied by relevant comments?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Are the conclusions logically consistent with the manuscript title and aim?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Do the conclusions contain a comparison of the results obtained with analogs?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Do the conclusions prove the theoretical and practical significance of the results?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

**Did the authors outline prospects for further research in this area?**

Agree  Neutral  Disagree

### **Structure and quality text of the manuscript**

- The manuscript structure corresponds to the general requirements
- The manuscript structure should be improved and refined
- The manuscript structure does not corresponds of the Journal requirements
- The manuscript language is clear, understandable, stylistically and grammatically correct
- The manuscript language needs correction / the manuscript text needs to be improved
- Figures quality is satisfactory (labels are easy to read and/or graphics resolution is acceptable)
- Figures quality is not satisfactory (labels are easy to read and/or graphics resolution is acceptable)
- The number of figures need to be reduced
- Tables structure is acceptable
- The number of tables need to be reduced

### **References**

- A large number of outdated references
- A large number of self-citations
- A large number of references to non-English-language publications (not available to the English-speaking scientific community)
- References are designed not according to the Journal template
- References are relevant and not outdated
- References are designed according to the Journal template

### **Decisions of the referee**


- Accept: to accept the manuscript “as is” (with no changes required)
- Minor Revision: the manuscript can be recommended for publication after all comments are addressed
- Major Revision: the manuscript requires substantial revision and 2nd round of reviews
- Reject: to reject the manuscript (no revisions will be accepted)

### **Comments and recommendations to authors**

### **Contacts:**

 <https://jnep.sumdu.edu.ua>

 [info@jnep.sumdu.edu.ua](mailto:info@jnep.sumdu.edu.ua), [l.odnodvoretts@aph.sumdu.edu.ua](mailto:l.odnodvoretts@aph.sumdu.edu.ua)

 + 38 0542 33 56 12